**EXHIBIT B** 

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

SAMUEL TURNER POOLE,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	C.A. No. 99-635-SLR
	)	
STAN TAYLOR, and	)	
RAPHAEL WILLIAMS,	)	Jury Trial Demanded
	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

# <u>DEFENDANT STANLEY W. TAYLOR, JR'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S INTERROGATORIES</u>

COMES NOW, the Defendant, Stanley W. Taylor, Jr. and hereby responds to Plaintiff's Interrogatories directed to State Defendants as follows:

Was you placing Approx. 50 unsentenced inmates in the Fitness Center.
 (Yes)or(No).

**RESPONSE**: Objection. Defendant Taylor did not place any inmates in the fitness center

Was placing immates inside the gym on blue crates up off the floor
 Approx. 80. (Yes)or(No).

**RESPONSE**: Objection. This request is incoherent. There is no time frame referenced. Inmates were at times housed in the gymnasium on blue cots.

3. Was the only inmates you was keeping on the floor in Gander Hill on the floor just unsentanced (sic) inmates. (Yes)or(No).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Each Interrogatory is entered as presented to the Defendants by the Plaintiff in its entirety. The responding defendant has not altered the sentence structure or made grammatical or spelling corrections.

RESPONSE: Objection. Incoherent. No time period referenced.

4. Was the amount on the floor between 300 and 440. (Yes)or(No).

**RESPONSE**: Objection. There is no time frame referenced. The request is unintelligible as presented.

5. Did the jail ever tell anybody investigating the fitness center that there was not anybody kept on the floor of the fitness center. (Yes)or(No).

**RESPONSE**: Objection. The jail did not tell anyone anything. This request is unintelligible as presented.

6. Was there a lot of immates complaining about how cold it was on the first floor to where your body got numb. (Yes) or (No).

**RESPONSE**: Objection. No time frames referenced. Defendant Taylor does not recall receiving such complaints.

7. Did you abuse the codes for detain 3 to a cell and place in Germ from 1000 of people sweaty inside of the fitness center. (Yes) (No). [Sic]

RESPONSE: Objection. This request is unintelligible as presented.

8. Did you place #50 immates in the fitness center with two seated bathroom.

(Yes)or(No).

**RESPONSE**: Objection. No time frame referenced. Defendant Taylor did not place immates in the fitness center at Gander Hill.

 Did you also feed immates in the fitness center and sit them on the floor to eat cells and in the fitness center. (Yes) (No).

RESPONSE: Objection. This interrogatory is incoherent as presented.

10. Did the sentenced inmates have to cat like this. (Yes) (No).

RESPONSE: Objection. This interrogatory is unintelligible as presented.

11. Was you told by any Federal or ACLU or any other agency to stop placing any immates on the floor. (Yes) (No).

RESPONSE: Objection. No time frame referenced.

12 Was I placed where I stated in my complaint for over 180 days (Yes (No).

**RESPONSE**: Objection. There is no time period or location referenced. The request is unintelligible as presented.

13. Was you keeping immates in the cell over 24 hours and more most when the officer got payee. (Yes) (No).

**RESPONSE**: Objection. There is not time frame referenced. This request is unintelligible as presented.

14. 48 hours in there cell. (Yes) (No).

RESPONSE: Objection. There is no time period referenced. The request is unintelligible as presented.

15. 72 hours in there cell. (Yes) (No).

**RESPONSE**: Objection. There is no time period referenced. The request is unintelligible as presented.

16. Did I get burt on July 6, 1999. (Yes) (No).

RESPONSE: Objection. Defendant Taylor does not know.

17. Was both officers in the bubble while that closet was open. (Yes)(No).

RESPONSE: Objection. Defendant Taylor does not know.

18. Is it policy that a Guard must be on the tier while the closet was open. (Yes)

10:55

(No).

RESPONSE: Objection. Defendant Taylor is unaware of any policy addressing open closets.

19. Did the officer tell me to enter that closet to get the mop and broom to clean my cell, while block out. (Yes) (No).

RESPONSE: Objection. Defendant Taylor does not know.

20. I want to know during this time kept in the cell at approx. 106% while bleeding that everywhere staff was it was freezing. (Yes) (No)

**RESPONSE**: Objection. This interrogatory is unintelligible as presented.

21. Was Raphael Williams Warden of the prison and San Taylor Dir. Of prisons of the State of Delaware. (Yes) (No).

RESPONSE: Objection. No time periods referenced. Without waiving said objection, Raphael Williams is the Warden at the Howard R. Young Correctional Institution. However, Stanley Taylor is the Commissioner of the Delware Department of Correction, not the "Dir. Of Prisons of the State of Delaware".

22. Could this problem very easily been taken care of with army cots. (Yes) (No).

**RESPONSE**: Objection. This question is incoherent. Plaintiff fails to state what problem he is referring to.

23. Are you suppose to provide proper housing for every immate in Gander Hill (Yes) (No).

RESPONSE: Objection. Plaintiff fails to identify what proper housing consists of. Defendant Taylor is unaware of any legal mandate employing the term

11/14/2005

10:55

DEPT OF CORRECTIONS + 913025775866

NO.411 P06

"proper housing."

Stanley W. Taylor, Jr.

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED before me this // day

of *NOV*.\_\_\_\_, 2005.

Christine S. Dulin My Commission Expires August 16, 2007

STATE OF DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

AS TO OBJECTIONS:

/s/ Richard W. Hubbard Richard W. Hubbard, LD. #2442 Deputy Attorney General Carvel State Office Building 820 N. French Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor Wilmington, DE 19801 (302) 577-8400

richard.hubbard@state.de.us

### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING AND/OR DELIVERY

The undersigned certifies that on November 14, 2005, he caused the attached *Defendant*Stanley W. Taylor Jr.'s Response to Plaintiff's Interrogatories to be delivered to the following persons in the form and manner indicated:

### NAME AND ADDRESS OF RECIPIENT(S):

Samuel T. Poole BN-5599 P.O. Box 1000 Houtzdale, Pa 16698-1000

#### MANNER OF DELIVERY:

	_One true copy by facsimile transmission to each recipient
<u> x</u>	Two true copies by first class mail, postage prepaid, to each recipient
	_Two true copies by Federal Express
	Two true copies by hand delivery to each recipient

/s/ Richard W. Hubbard
Richard W. Hubbard, ID #2442
Deputy Attorney General
Carvel State Office Building
820 N. French Street, 6th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
302-577-8400